

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.mapo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/009,152	05/07/2002	Satoshi Takagi	450101-03306	8644
20999 FROMMER L	7590 11/12/2009 AWRENCE & HAUG	EXAM	EXAMINER	
745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL.			BAIG, SAHAR A	
NEW YORK,	NY 10151		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2424	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/12/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Applicant(s)						
TAKAGI ET AL.						
Art Unit						
2424						
	TAKAGI ET AL. Art Unit					

	Crabatra Brac	2-12-1	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 15 October 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidav eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07(
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount chortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months	of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	cause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor			cause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•	, ,	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	,,	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-32</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attache	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for ellower	ne because:
Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (⊠ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
Salar Soc Sommadan Onock			
/Christopher Kelley/	/Sahar A Baig/		
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2424	Examiner, Art Unit 2424		

Application No. 10/009,152

Continuation of 13. Other: On Page 3 of the Remarks section, the Applicant argues that the disclosure of Meyerzon fails to teach "the archiving means issues and archives a tag pointing the archiving means that archives the metadata that explains the essence." Examiner respectfully disagrees. First, Meyerzon sets forth the term "properties" as tags (Col. 10 line 11), then it is taught that the properties (tags) inserted can be used by an indexing (archiving) engine that can serve search queries that return only documents (essences) of interest (Co. 11 lines33-36). Therefore Meyerzon discloses the claimed limitation.